

## EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEETING: Minutes

Tuesday, March 4, 2025, 2:00 pm – 4:00 pm

Academic Senate Conference Room (AS 125)

Or on Zoom: <https://csulb.zoom.us/j/82629516957> (Meeting ID: 826 2951 6957)

N. Hultgren, R. Fischer, M. Dyo, P. Ayala, N. Meyer-Adams, C. Miles, C. Warren, N. Schürer, E. Klink, M. Aliasgari, S. Collins, C. Ryan, S. Apel, D. Green, P. Hung, K. Scissum Gunn, A. Kinsey

1. Call to Order- 2:00
2. Approval of Agenda- moved by CM, seconded and approved.
3. Approval of Minutes- moved by RF, seconded and approved as amended.
  - 3.1. [Meeting of February 25, 2025](#)
4. Special Orders
  - 4.1. Report: AVP, Enrollment Services Donna Green- DG no report today.
  - 4.2. Report: VP Administration and Finance Scott Apel- SA reports on items being held back in Federal Courts including research involving DEIA. The question is how this will be defined. Waiting on indirect reimbursement, threatening to drop to 15%. Dept of Education has sent a communication defining discrimination. NH reports on presentations being sent for Senate and Exec including a USU update. CFA and faculty affairs working together. President Conoley will not be concurring on the approved version of the Departmentalization policy. Will return to senate when NH received the document back. Changes around Title IX complaints are expected. Awaiting guidance from the CO. The Governor last night stated that everyone must come back to work in person 4 days a week. SA is not sure if this refers to us, it may not. CW asks about the segregation and if it will impact student's cultural graduations. SA says we will have to be strategic, they are largely self-funded, so not a budget issue. No direction yet from the CO. there will likely be some sort of ceremonies open to everyone, undocumented graduation may be an issue, since it is tantamount to announcing that undocumented individuals will be in attendance. EK states that Chico State is reducing the number of schools and colleges, a total of 3, down from 12 currently. CM thanks SA for all his work and presenting realistic budget scenarios to EXEC. NS asks about Title IX, and the other conduct of concern policy being worked on, will this be shuttered? OCC is about employee behavior; the campus response will not change. MA asks about federal work study. Dominguez Hills had an issue with this. Work study funds are allocated as financial aid. The amount of funds determines the number of students to be hired. Students are hired with the amount of funds available and told upfront. NH asks about the non-discrimination policy coming from the CO. he asks what is being revised in the policy. It will be specific to Title IX on campus. The office of civil rights issues a "Dear Colleague" letter. The new Federal administration is saying that helping students in a protected class is actually discrimination.
5. Old Business
  - 5.1. Reviewing the [agenda](#) for the Academic Senate Meeting of March 6, 2025- NH

reviews the upcoming Senate agenda with EXEC. NH will make brief remarks about the Emeritus policy at the Senate. The bulk of the meeting will be spent on the Culminating Activity policy revision.

- 5.2. Faculty Center Director Search and section 3.4.1 of PS 22-13 update- NH updates to EC that NC has found another nominee for this committee, which will likely be approved at the Senate meeting. There may be people who complain that there are too many from CLA, but there has been no addl volunteers from other colleges. CM: asks about M. Finney's position; NH: on hold for now.

NS: CO posted policy today for applied and prof. doctoral programs. PFH: shoutout to NS for his contribution as ASCSU senator and work on this policy. There are 2 prof. doctoral programs in the pipeline.

- 5.3. [Time Certain 2:45 pm] [Faculty Affairs request for feedback on draft FAQ for College and Department RTP Policy Revisions](#)

Guest: Chris Karadjov, Chair, CLA Faculty Council- CK reports on revision suggested by CLA faculty council. This feedback will be submitted to FA when complete. CK mentions some of the issues brought up by CLA departments regarding RSCA. Candidates need to indicate in their narrative how the RSCA should be evaluated and why.

Difference between "forms" and "kinds" of RSCA? (first question of doc)  
Suggestions on draft doc. NS: ranking is complicated, but could a dept determine weight of type of scholarship; CK: most depts specify what they need to have at a minimum. CM: intent of university policy was meant to be more open/flexible. Should be left to depts/committees to determine. EK: agrees with CM; in CLA 2 groups of people incl. those in favor of more open/flexible policy that allows for different types of RSCA.

- 5.4. [Time Certain 3:30 pm] Discussion of FPPC work in relation PS 17-05: Student Evaluation of Teaching

Guest: Barbara LeMaster, Chair, FPPC- BL presents on FPPC working on the SPOT survey, work began last year. There are no longer any pools of questions available for surveys. They looked at other CSUs and the instruments they are using. They determined that a different instrument is needed for CSULB. BL asked faculty councils for assistance. BL asks for subcommittees, NH stated that was not feasible. The fact that the same survey instrument is used for faculty, counselors and clinic faculty is problematic. She asks for a committee with a limited obligation, with a charge. Look at data and come up with a new instrument. CM asks if this is separate members from FPPC, they would be separate. EK states the last time this was addressed, there were sub committees. EK says tenure track searches provide a lot of information and that may be a valuable way to go. MD asks what other CSU's are using. RF supports an open-ended task force with deliverables. NS suggests that the focus should not be numbers, moving away from quantitative to qualitative. FPPC should put forth a charge for a task force with deliverables, EC will need to review charge. PA suggests the task force include members from FPPC.

6. New Business

6.1. [Time Certain 3:00 pm] [Faculty Survey of Student Engagement](#)

Guest: Sharlene Sayegh, Director of Institutional Assessment & ALO; Pei-Fang Hung, Interim Vice Provost for Academic Programs- PFH and SS present on the types of survey's NSSE/BCSSE/FSSE used by 4-year colleges and universities. These help colleges and universities collect information about effective teaching practices. We are currently in the middle of our accreditation timeline, so need some data to include in our preliminary report. 2025 FSSE target survey population presented with the rationale. March 11 – May 12 is the survey timeline. CW: question regarding the staggering of NSSE/BCSSE/FSSE? Is there a reason to not do them in the same year for the sake of correlation? Discussion ensued regarding the “black hole” of surveys. NS asks what happens with the data collected. SS states the data is used for our accreditation self-study.

6.2. [Retreat Data Organization – Moving Forward](#) NH asks EC what we should do with the data collected. 4 or 5 themes suggested by RF, summary of how they have changed from the 50's until now. For each thematic element list changes noted in the notes. CM suggests sending this to the University archives when complete. CW suggests using Chat GPT to come up with themes.

6.3. Moving forward on the Proposed Revisions to PS 96-04: Native American Burial Remains, Associated and Unassociated Funerary Objects, Sacred Objects, and Other Cultural Patrimony

7. Announcements and Information

8. Reminders

8.1. Academic Senate Meeting #12, 3/6/25, 2:00 pm – 4:00 pm, PSY 150

9. Adjournment- 4:02