

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEETING: Minutes

Tuesday, February 25, 2025, 2:00 pm – 4:00 pm

Academic Senate Conference Room (AS 125)

Or on Zoom: <https://csulb.zoom.us/j/82629516957> (Meeting ID: 826 2951 6957)

N. Hultgren, R. Fischer, M. Dyo, P. Ayala, N. Meyer-Adams, C. Miles, C. Warren, N. Schürer, E. Klink, M. Aliasgari, D. Hamm, C. Ryan, S. Apel, D. Green, P. Hung, K. Scissum Gunn, A. Kinsey

1. Call to Order- 2:01
2. Approval of Agenda- NH shows a new section 7.1 to members of Exec soliciting feedback. Motion to approve, R. Fischer, seconded and approved.
3. Approval of Minutes- move to approve by R. Fischer, seconded and approved.
 - 3.1. [Meeting of February 18, 2025](#)
4. Special Orders
 - 4.1. Report: Provost Karyn Scissum Gunn- KSG reports on a visit from a delegation from Mmombela South Africa, involves the vice chancellor and their Dean of students. Exploring partnerships with our campuses was the purpose of this visit. Faculty opportunity, in their system Doctoral students sit before a Board of Examiners (similar to dissertation committee) and one must be an International Faculty member. Do we have a list of this type of thing? Faculty experts at our University might be a good fit for this. "FREE" on [website](#) shows faculty and what they are interested in.

Today an email regarding modes of instruction went out to faculty. This email reminds faculty of the protocol for temporary change of mode as well as other actions (PS 20-10/CFA article 24.6). Procedure for reporting absences and changing mode of instructions. DH asks if there were any significant changes from the previous guidelines. Minor verbiage changes are in the new document. MA asks about summer stateside, registration next Monday. He states there is some confusion in the changes in the move to stateside. KSG states there was a working group on this including the revenue framework. This was distributed today to the Deans. NH asks a Chiclet called "faculty experts admin" he asked where this goes to when a submission occurs. There is also a "Media" page on website, he asks about these two resources, and suggests KSG look at these. NMA asks about where it is acceptable to protest freedom of speech on campus. Can students be filmed, answer yes. NS asks if the speakers can be asked for identification. SA says this is a public space, and people have the right to speak publicly, under limited circumstances ID's can be asked for, if they are doing something illegal.

- 4.2. Report: AVP, Enrollment Services Donna Green- DG reports on reminder of summer stateside, fall advising has started, GE website updates going up. Fall offers are out to students, looking for a class of 10,500, 6,000 FTFY, 4,500 in transfers.
- 4.3. Report: VP Administration and Finance Scott Apel- SA reports on a contact from

a reporter on “knock and talk” reporting on ICE enforcement in LA, was unable to track down any unusual activity in this area. Speakers hope for intervention so they can sue. Response is in place for ICE situations. Defunding of research is still an issue. This is illegal but the court will take some time. All research on DEI is likely to be defunded, and any Grant that references DEI will need to be reapplied for with a new name. grants will be revoked, and some will lose employment due to this. There may be a hearing that we should be treated differently than other state agencies. Palestinian wall is on display, we receive complaints about this every year. KSG states we are in a reactive mode due to recent federal mandates. We are struggling to come up with strategies to deal with this situation. Academic freedom states that faculty members should not pivot course content for social justice courses. We will maintain our instructional values but be smart about it. DH asks if there is training on how “not” to respond to speakers. SA says there is a program for this. “Speak Boldly Listen Bravely” is the name of the program.

<https://www.csulb.edu/student-affairs/free-speech/speak-boldly-listen-bravely>

MA asks SA if there is a program that pays the amount (student fees) not covered by financial aid (similar to CSU Humboldt’s Green and Gold program).

5. Old Business

5.1. [Faculty Center Director Search and section 3.4.1 of PS 22-13-](#) NH asks EC about the attempt to form the FC search committee. We are short two members for this committee. PS 22-13 states that since we are missing two members on this committee, it cannot go forward. RF states that we should ask NC to attempt to solicit a faculty member for this search, if unsuccessful we can write an interpretative memo. EK states that Deans may know who needs service. NH suggests contacting those selected for the AVPFIA and see if someone from that can do it.

5.2. Quick consultation: FPPC “SPOT Replacement” Subcommittee- NH has been in contact with FPPC regarding their revision of current policies. Every 5 years the SPOT questions shall be revised per policy. The question bank if gone that would previously update the questions. FPPC asked NH if they could form a charge and be listed on the website. This will not be an ongoing committee, so NH suggests Barbara LeMaster come to EC to share the council's perspective NS feels the policy states that FPPC should do this, not a subcommittee. He feels this is FPPC’s job as a council. PFH agrees with NS. EC asks FPPC to find a new survey instrument.

6. New Business

6.1. [Setting the agenda for the Academic Senate Meeting of March 6, 2025-](#) NH asks EC how to move forward on the GVAR policy at the meeting. EC will vote on the draft agenda at the conclusion of the discussion. PFH states that she has received feedback that we should follow the CEPC version of the policy as our constitution sets forth. PFH asks NS for his opinion on this issue. NS states this is not a “regular” situation. NS feels that the council disregarded what the committee came up with completely. NS feels the vote would help with the idea of which document we are working with for amendments. CM feels that the unprecedented change of the GVARC document may be edited on the senate floor as always. He feels this may set a dangerous precedent if this is done. EK

says this is based on a potential CO policy which has not come down yet. CM asks about the actual deadline of the revision, PFH says Fall 24 was deadline, which has already passed. We are currently out of compliance. EC discusses how to move forward with many speakers on the issue. NH says there are 2 options, send back to CEPC, or move to 2nd reading. EC will vote on this now. RF: CEPC believes they have been working hard on policy given changes that have been unfolding. Supports moving forward with second reading of CEPC. NS: thinks we should do what CB suggested. 15-20 min Q&A, and then a time certain for 2nd reading. PA: have heard concerns about process; if CEPC was tasked with developing the policy then we should go with it. CM: in favor of proceeding with process. Value of Q&A is to clarify so that senators are informed. There has not been discussion of financial impact, consultation between CEPC/GWARC. CW: if we go with option A, maybe have shorter turnaround.

Choices are (A)-send back to CEPC, or (B)-move to 2nd reading. A=5 B=2, EC decides to send back to CEPC, due back to Senate by March 20. Emeritus policy is added to the policy as a First Reading. EC votes unanimously to approve the agenda.

6.1.1. [Agenda-setting and GWAR](#) (Suggestions received)

- 6.2. [Adding Classes after the First Class Meeting Policy Query](#) NH asks EC if a student may add a course on the 3rd week of instruction that only meets once a week. The particulars are provided to EC. Two policies reference this 17-17 and 85-05. An AD asked senate to revise 85-05. Shall we refer this policy to CEPC. PA suggests sending to CEPC. MA thinks there are issues with this policy. NS: supports sending to CEPC, limiting to one week; clarifying language of one week; adding language of when students add late, responsibility on student to work with instructor to determine make-up. Is there a way to get data on students who add late and how they compare to other students. CM: supports span of 1-4 weeks with instructor being able to allow students to add.
- 6.3. [Faculty Affairs request for feedback on draft FAQ for College and Department RTP Policy Revisions – NH](#) asks EC for suggestions to forward to FA. EC members offer suggestions for the document.
- 6.4. Selection of a Faculty Member from Exec to serve on the Staff Council Outstanding Staff Award Committee- NMA volunteers.
- 6.5. [Retreat Data Organization – Moving Forward](#)
- 6.6. Moving forward on the Proposed Revisions to PS 96-04: Native American Burial Remains, Associated and Unassociated Funerary Objects, Sacred Objects, and Other Cultural Patrimony

7. Announcements and Information

8. Reminders

- 8.1. Academic Senate Meeting #12, 3/6/25, 2:00 pm – 4:00 pm, PSY 150

9. Adjournment- 4:04