

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEETING: Minutes

Tuesday, February 11, 2025, 2:00 pm – 4:00 pm

Academic Senate Conference Room (AS 125)

Or on Zoom: <https://csulb.zoom.us/j/82629516957> (Meeting ID: 826 2951 6957)

N. Hultgren, R. Fischer, M. Dyo, P. Ayala, N. Meyer-Adams, C. Miles, C. Warren, N. Schürer, E. Klink, M. Aliasgari (absent), S. Collins, C. Ryan, S. Apel (absent excused), J Klaus, P. Hung, K. Scissum Gunn, A. Kinsey

1. Call to Order- 2:02
2. Approval of Agenda- moved by R. Fischer, seconded and approved.
3. Approval of Minutes- moved by Moved by C. Miles as amended, seconded and approved.
 - 3.1. [Meeting of February 4, 2025](#)
4. Special Orders
 - 4.1. Report: Provost Karyn Scissum Gunn- KSG reports on shared governance collaborating with LBCC, we are thinking of using data on shared students that both groups can examine. What are ways we can collaborate. LB College promise symposium next week with a data set built over time. Data which contains both ours and LBCC students will be shared to better understand how our students are doing and possibly inform future initiatives. Resource cards are official procedures on how to engage around immigration activity on campus, KSG shows this [card](#) to EC. They contain the official University response as well as FAQ which are on the website. NH apologizes for a comment at the last meeting with regards to how long someone spoke. RF asks if the card can be posted in class rooms, perhaps with a larger font. NS: Enforcement Actions website- can the phrase “impacted students” be removed/revised? Discussion of how we can make information available for all students. CW asks about what a private space is. KSG will address this question later today at a meeting. CM gives his recommendation for non-targeted students. J. Klaus will speak on this during the time for D. Green report.
 - 4.2. Report: AVP, Enrollment Services Donna Green- not present, report from J. Klaus- pro life group speaking on campus today. May be a counterprotest to pro-life group. Career development center won an award on equitable and inclusive hiring practices. Feb. 20, hosting the Black Deaf Tour, middle school students will be on campus with activities planned for them, including meeting with ASL members. CW asks who to report violations to from on campus groups. TPM policy designates this type of thing.
 - 4.3. Report: VP Administration and Finance Scott Apel- not present (jury duty), no report
5. Old Business
 - 5.1. [Campus Calendar Committee Memo for the 27-28 Academic Year](#) - EC revisits the memo to come to a consensus. NMA speaks to this, Option 2 was favored by the calendar committee. EC does a straw poll, Option 1, 5, Option 2, 0. Memo will be sent to President Conoley to recommend Option one. Official Vote Option one-

5, Option 2-zero.

6. New Business

6.1. [Resource Information about WI Course Enrollment Caps](#) (as related to the GWAR Policy Revisions) NH tells EC that there is a lot of conversation and concern regarding this policy. GWAR members presented at the last AS meeting presenting their concerns with the CEPC revision of the policy. Additionally, URC has not discussed this in detail. Administration has concerns lowering the cap in GWAR courses to 18 will have fiscal consideration. EC needs to figure out how/if/when this goes forward on the Senate agenda. C. Bennett feels that this should not move to second reading due to him being unable to speak at the end and a full conversation was not possible at the last AS meeting, thus adequate first reading not complete. PFH states she was involved with this at the beginning of the revision of the GWAR policy. Two comments, we may need a "second" first reading to address CB's concern. This may clear up some confusion. The financial analysis piece has language from CEPC, an analysis using real enrollment data. Current cap is 35, real data will provide a better estimate. PFH says a financial team can provide a financial analysis. NS provides an analysis with the cap of 25, for that course, an additional 14 sections of the course would need to be created. He states that the financial impact would be negligible. NS will propose a motion to substitute, where he suggests, replacing the entire CEPC document with the GWARC document, so that the discussion would be around that document, not the CEPC document. Consequences include how to proceed. He asks with parliamentary procedure how would be the best way to go forward. EK states that she was involved with the original policy, she states that the CO has not yet gotten to GWAR in their discussions. She states that WAC is different from GWAR, which is in the CEPC revision. KSG is concerned with the numerous issues to be considered before moving this policy to second reading. PFH states that GWARC was upset that their voice was not heard, this motion to substitute may upset CEPC in the same way. She recommends using the CEPC version and incorporate GWARC into it. NH reminds EC that this does not need to be decided right now, this may be postponed and sent to URC. We could have an "open forum" on this and leave the 2nd reading to the future. This would need clear parameters. NS says 1st and 2nd reading is not in Roberts Rules, so we may proceed as we like on this. NS feels the CEPC policy is a "bad" policy with respect to teaching our students. PFH states our current policy is out of compliance with the CO. we just received a call from the CO stating this. Decision needs to be made on the GPE also. KSG: due to complex high unit majors, need space for adequate discussion. PA: Is there a process with regard to having a policy that is out of compliance? NH: it is up to SEC/AS to revise/replace policy. Because GWAR-C was very informed on this, they worked on it and sent it to CEPC. CM suggests delaying for a short time until the financial summary is available. EK says an issue is the GPE and charging

students to take this course. PFH will put together a table comparing the two policies.

- 6.2. Setting the agenda for the Academic Senate meeting of February 20, 2025- NH discusses the draft agenda with EC. There will be 30 minutes for GWARC revision with a time certain at 3:30. NS suggests a vote at the end to decide which document to move forward with.

- 6.3. [Policy Query related to students adding classes after the first day](#)

- 6.4. [Time Certain 3:30 pm] [Update to Title IX](#) Federal Regulations Related to Pregnancy

Guests: Larisa Hamada, Assistant Vice President, Equity and Compliance; Jeff Klaus, Associate Vice President of Student Affairs and Dean of Students; Mary Nguyen, Director, Bob Murphy Access Center- LH, MN and K. Janousek present on this update to Title IX. Per LH, campus is in good place, post Kozen report, audit, CO review. Each time passed with flying colors. Students are receiving support for Title IX issues. Will continue to provide Title IX support. LH does not foresee major changes with Title IX. Some changes from 2024, pregnancy is covered under Title IX. 20-25% of students pregnant or parenting. "Invisible" population. Providing support by providing accommodations (now handled by BMAC), letters won't look very different from other letters. Modification (e.g. desk space) does not require MD note; accommodation may. Faculty need to have this information to provide to students who disclose that they are pregnant. Students need to know the Title IX Coordinator, OEC@csulb.edu a BMAC pregnancy syllabus statement is provided to faculty members. NS asks how to distribute this to faculty. KJ is working with FA to have this information on their website. NH says there is a syllabus policy, which may be revised. He receives many requests to amend the policy each year. RF asks if required statement placed on Canvas would satisfy this requirement. CM suggests sending to all faculty a packet with all statements that need to be included in syllabi yearly. PA asks if this is a federal change, no, LH states this was moved to BMAC for ease. Federally students must be made aware that there is a Title IX coordinator on campus, and is the point of contact.

- 6.5. [Retreat Data Organization – moving forward](#)

- 6.6. [Developing a procedure related to the new ASCSU Lecturer elections](#)

- 6.7. Moving forward on the Proposed Revisions to PS 96-04: Native American Burial Remains, Associated and Unassociated Funerary Objects, Sacred Objects, and Other Cultural Patrimony

7. Announcements and Information

8. Reminders

- 8.1. Academic Senate Meeting #11, 2/20/25, 2:00 pm – 4:00 pm, PSY 150

9. Adjournment- 4:00