

Internal Control and Compliance Reports June 30, 2024

#### **CONTENTS**

Independent Auditors' Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial	
Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards	1-2
Independent Auditors' Report on Compliance for Each Major Program and on Internal Control Over Compliance Required by the Uniform Guidance	3-5
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	6-14
Notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	15
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	16



# INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

To the Board of Directors of California State University, Long Beach Research Foundation:

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of California State University, Long Beach Research Foundation (a nonprofit organization), which comprise the statements of net position as of June 30, 2024 and 2023, and the related statements of revenue, expenses, and changes in net position, and cash flows for the years then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated September 17, 2024.

#### **Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered California State University, Long Beach Research Foundation's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) as a basis for designing the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of California State University, Long Beach Research Foundation's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of California State University, Long Beach Research Foundation's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit, we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we considered to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

#### **Report on Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether California State University, Long Beach Research Foundation's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the financial statements. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

#### **Purpose of this Report**

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of California State University, Long Beach Research Foundation's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering California State University, Long Beach Research Foundation's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Long Beach, California September 17, 2024

Vindes, Inc.



# INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR PROGRAM AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE REQUIRED BY THE UNIFORM GUIDANCE

To the Board of Directors of California State University, Long Beach Research Foundation:

#### Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program

#### Opinion on Each Major Federal Program

We have audited California State University, Long Beach Research Foundation's (the Research Foundation) compliance with the types of compliance requirements identified as subject to audit in the *OMB Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on each of the Research Foundation's major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2024. The Research Foundation's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditors' results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

In our opinion, the Research Foundation complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2024.

#### Basis for Opinion on Each Major Federal Program

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the audit requirements of Title 2 U.S. *Code of Federal Regulations* Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Our responsibilities under those standards and the Uniform Guidance are further described in the Auditors' Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance section of our report.

We are required to be independent of the Research Foundation and to meet our other ethical responsibilities, in accordance with relevant ethical requirements relating to our audit. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of the Research Foundation's compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above.

#### Responsibilities of Management for Compliance

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirements referred to above and for the design, implementation, and maintenance of effective internal control over compliance with the requirements of laws, statutes, regulations, rules, and provisions of contracts or grant agreements applicable to the Research Foundation's federal programs.

#### Auditors' Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether material noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above occurred, whether due to fraud or error, and express an opinion on the Research Foundation's compliance based on our audit. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance, but is not absolute assurance and, therefore, is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards, *Government Auditing Standards*, and the Uniform Guidance will always detect material noncompliance when it exists. The risk of not detecting material noncompliance resulting from fraud is higher than for that resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control. Noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above is considered material if there is a substantial likelihood that, individually or in the aggregate, it would influence the judgment made by a reasonable user of the report on compliance about the Research Foundation's compliance with the requirements of each major federal program as a whole.

In performing an audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards, *Government Auditing Standards*, and the Uniform Guidance, we:

- Exercise professional judgment and maintain professional skepticism throughout the audit.
- Identify and assess the risks of material noncompliance, whether due to fraud or error, and design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks. Such procedures include examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the Research Foundation's compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.
- Obtain an understanding of the Research Foundation's internal control over compliance relevant to the audit in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Research Foundation's internal control over compliance. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.

We are required to communicate with those charged with governance regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit and any significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in internal control over compliance that we identified during the audit.

#### Report on Internal Control over Compliance

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the Auditors' Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance section above and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in internal control over compliance. Given these limitations, during our audit, we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above. However, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in internal control over compliance may exist that were not identified.

Our audit was not designed for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.

# Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance

We have audited the financial statements of the Research Foundation as of and for the year ended June 30, 2024, and have issued our report thereon dated September 17, 2024, which contained an unmodified opinion on those financial statements. Our audit was performed for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements as a whole. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by the Uniform Guidance and is not a required part of the financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the schedule of expenditures of federal awards is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the financial statements as a whole.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Long Beach, California September 17, 2024

indes, du.

# SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2024

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program or Cluster Title	Federal Assistance Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program or Cluster Title Number Expenditures		Amounts Provided to Subrecipients	
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT - CLUSTER:	Number		<u>- California - Ca</u>	
RESEARCH AIND DEVELOPMENT - CLUSTER.				
U. S. Department of Agriculture				
Hispanic Serving Institutions Education Grants	10.223	\$ 273,944	\$ 88,821	
Agriculture and Food Research Initiative	10.310	680,601	14,787	
		954,545	103,608	
U.S. Department of Commerce				
Sea Grant Support				
Pass Through:				
University of Southern California	11.417	31,227	2,972	
Climate and Atmospheric Research	11.431	12,668		
		43,895	2,972	
U.S. Department of Defense				
Basic Scientific Research - U.S. Navy	12.300	141,059	4,942	
U.S. Department of Interior				
Assistance to State Water Resources Research Institutes				
Pass Through:				
University of California, Irvine	15.805	5,080	-	
Earthquake Hazards Reduction Program	15.807	19,905		
		24,985		
U.S. Department of Justice				
National Institute of Justice Research, Evaluation				
and Development Project	16.560	233,387	58,213	
Body Worn Camera Policy and Implementation				
Pass Through:				
Arizona State University	16.835	36,575	-	
STOP School Violence	16.839	137,812		
		407,774	58,213	
U.S. Department of State				
Investing in People in The Middle East and North Africa Pass Through:				
IREX	19.021	18,880		

	_		
	Assistance		Amounts
Federal Grantor/Pass-Through	Listing	Federal	Provided to
Grantor/Program or Cluster Title	Number	Expenditures	Subrecipients
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT - CLUSTER:			
U.S. Department of Transportation			
University Transportation Centers Program			
Pass Through:			
University of Southern California	20.701	203,064	-
Pass Through:			
University of Tennessee Knoxville	20.701	136,506	-
Pass Through:		•	
UC Davis	20.701	97,760	<u>-</u>
		437,330	
National Aeronautics and Space Administration			
Aerospace Education Services Program	43.001	1,785	-
Pass Through:			
Ohio State University	43.001	1,739	-
Education (NASA)			
Pass Through:			
Navajo Technical University	43.008	34,075	-
Pass Through:			
US San Diego	43.008	15,000	
		52,599	
National Science Foundation			
Engineering Grants	47.041	356,319	-
Pass Through:			
University of Southern California	47.041	19,343	-
Mathematical and Physical Sciences	47.049	908,693	33,032
Pass Through:			
Valparaiso University	47.049	3,741	-
Geosciences	47.050	354,825	-
Pass Through:			
Purdue University	47.050	8,422	-
Computer and Information Science and Engineering	47.070	345,164	104,008

	Federal		
	Assistance		Amounts
Federal Grantor/Pass-Through	Listing	Federal	Provided to
Grantor/Program or Cluster Title	Number	Expenditures	Subrecipients
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT - CLUSTER (CONTINUED):			
National Science Foundation (Continued)			
Neotropical Migratory Bird Conservation	47.074	78,441	-
Biological Sciences	47.074	350,444	14,389
Pass Through:			
American Society for Cell Biology	47.074	11,207	-
Social, Behavioral, and Economic Sciences	47.075	130,260	-
Pass Through:			
University of Southern California	47.075	52,532	-
Education and Human Resources	47.076	1,191,996	23,916
Pass Through:			
California State University, East Bay	47.076	173,073	-
Pass Through:			
Cal Poly Pomona Foundation, Inc.	47.076	5,197	-
Pass Through:			
CSU San Bernardino-University Enterprises Corporation	47.076	99	-
Pass Through:			
San Jose State University Research Foundation	47.076	14,749	-
Pass Through:			
University of Pittsburgh	47.076	6,863	-
Pass Through:			
University of Richmond	47.076	466	-
International Science and Engineering (OISE)			
Pass Through:			
Harvey Mudd College	47.079	36,932	
		4,048,766	175,345

Federal Grantor/Pass–Through Grantor/Program or Cluster Title	Federal Assistance Listing Number	Federal Expenditures	Amounts Provided to Subrecipients
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT - CLUSTER (CONTINUED):			
Environmental Protection Agency			
Regional Wetland Program Development Grants			
Pass Through:			
Southern California Coastal Water Research Project	66.461	45,781	
U.S. Department of Energy			
Office of Science Financial Assistance Program	81.049	169,982	-
Pass Through:			
Univeristy of California, Irvine	81.049	52,958	-
Nuclear Waste Disposal Siting			
Pass Through:			
Department of Toxic Substances	81.065	8,281	-
Renewable Energy Research and Development			
Pass Through:			
Rice University	81.087	83,036	
		314,257	
U. S. Department of Education			
National Resource Centers Program for Foreign Language and A	irea		
Studies or Foreign Language and International Studies			
Pass Through:			
Columbia University in the City of New York	84.015	24,289	-
Undergraduate International Studies and Foreign Language Pro	grams		
Pass Through:			
CSU San Bernardino-University Enterprises Corporation	84.016	13,905	-
Higher Education Institutional Aid	84.031	(821)	-
Education Research, Development and Dissemination			
Pass Through:			
University of Texas - Austin	84.305	29,068	-
Research in Special Education			
Pass Through:			
University of Virginia	84.324	181,540	-

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through	Federal Assistance Listing	Federal	Amounts Provided to
Grantor/Program or Cluster Title	Number	<u>Expenditures</u>	Subrecipients
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT - CLUSTER (CONTINUED):			
Arts in Education			
Pass Through:			
Dramatic Results	84.351	39,567	
		287,548	
U. S. Department of Health and Human Services			
National Center on Sleep Disorders Research	93.233	56,600	-
Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services			
Pass Through:			
Arizona State University	93.243	2,455	-
Drug Abuse and Addiction Research Programs	93.279	239,661	37,913
Trans-NIH Research Support	93.310	2,937,745	-
Certified Health IT Surveillance Capacity and Infrastructure -			
Improvement Cooperative Agreement Program	93.355	2,047,765	618,744
Allergy, Immunology and Transplantation Research			
Pass Through:			
Emory University	93.855	29,283	-
UC Office of the President	93.855	278,924	-
Biomedical Research and Research Training	93.859	2,668,881	63,377
Child Health and Human Development Extramural Research			
Pass Through:			
New York University	93.865	992	-
Pass Through:			
Chapman University	93.865	2,095	-
Aging Research	93.866	129,138	31,464
Pass Through:			
Duke Univeristy Press	93.866	2,335	-
Research Programs in Neurosciences and Neurological Disorde	93.853	33	
		8,395,907	751,498

	Federal Assistance		Amounts
Federal Grantor/Pass-Through	Listing	Federal	Provided to
Grantor/Program or Cluster Title	Number	Expenditures	Subrecipients
		•	
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT - CLUSTER (CONTINUED):			
National Institutes of Health			
Mental Health Research Grants			
Pass Through:			
San Diego State University Foundation	93.242	21,449	-
Alcohol Research Programs			
Pass Through:			
University of Washington	93.273	11,491	-
Activities to Support State, Tribal, Local and Territorial He	ealth Dept		
Response to Public Health or Healthcare Crises			
Pass Through:			
City of Long Beach	93.391	10,559	
		43,499	
Undeterminable Federal Agency			
CeSSA Road Conditions+Safety	99.G242320100	1,023	-
ScientificCmptgWrkshpSTEMEduc	99.G278523100	6,199	-
AnkleInstabilityMeasurSystAIMS	99.G279523100	23,422	-
DelphiTrnMatchServDogDisablVet	99.G282323100	267,592	-
WWSD UniversityCentral Florida	99.G28232310A	111,250	99,375
WWSD Task 2 Subawards	99.G28232310C	8,629,445	8,339,245
HIVE-EHE Evaluation 2024	99.G287724100	18,174	-
CFD ModelingMixingMicrogravity	99.G265122100	599	-
Change Rec Resources COVID-19	99.G266022100	407	-
TelAfar Univ Educ+Support Opps	99.G269222100	10,517	-
IntelligTransportnSystsITS PCB	99.G273323100	(1)	
		9,068,627	8,438,620
TOTAL RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT		24,285,452	9,535,198

	Federal		
Fodoval Country/Dass Thurston	Assistance		Amounts
Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program or Cluster Title	Listing Number	Federal Expenditures	Provided to Subrecipients
Grantor/Program or Cluster Title	Number	Expenditures	Subrecipients
OTHER PROGRAMS:			
U. S. Department of Agriculture			
Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program			
Pass Through:			
California State University, Chico Research Foundation	10.561	312,188	
U.S. Department of Defense			
ROTC Language and Culture Training Grants			
Pass Through:			
Institute of International Education	12.357	549,400	-
Research and Technology Development	12.910	136,172	136,172
		685,572	136,172
U.S. Department of Justice			
Violence Against Women Formula Grants			
Pass Through:			
California Governor's Office of Emergency Services	16.588	195,384	
U.S. Department of Labor			
H-1B Job Training Grants			
Pass Through:			
Lorain County Community College	17.268	(68)	
Federal Highway Administration			
Highway Training and Education	20.215	7,000	
National Endowment for the Humanities			
Promotion of the Humanities Research	45.161	18,764	3,006

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through	Federal Assistance Listing	Federal Expenditures	Amounts Provided to Subrecipients
Grantor/Program or Cluster Title	Number	Expenditures	Subrecipients
OTHER PROGRAMS (CONTINUED):			
National Science Foundation			
Social, Behavioral, and Economic Sciences	47.075	121,561	-
Education and Human Resources	47.076	10,098	-
Pass Through:			
California State University, Sacramento-University Enterpris	47.076	25,970	
		157,629	
U.S. Donastroont of Education			
U.S. Department of Education	84.042	1 1 10 075	
TRIO Cluster-Student Support Services TRIO Cluster-Talent Search	84.044	1,142,375	-
	84.047	930,283	-
TRIO Cluster-Upward Bound	84.066	1,710,713	-
TRIO Cluster-Educational Opportunity Center	84.367	336,717	-
UC Office of the President (UCOP) TRIO McNair Post-Baccalaureate Achievement		38,396	-
TRIO MCNail Post-bacculatiente Achievement	84.217	224,372	
		4,382,856	
Higher Educational Institutional Aid	84.031	246,755	-
Pass Through:			
University of Redlands	84.031	23,953	-
Rehabilitation Services Vocational Rehabilitation Grants to States			
Pass Through:			
California Department of Rehabilitation	84.126	245,368	-
Safe and Drug-Free Schools and Communities National Prograr	84.184	549,363	-
Fund for the Improvement of Education	84.215	304,515	-
Special Education - Personnel Development	84.325	1,557,827	-
Gaining Early Awareness and Readiness for Undergraduate Pro	84.334	1,188,242	-
Strengthening Minority-Serving Institutions	84.382	365,787	
		4,481,810	

	Federal				
	Assistance				Amounts
Federal Grantor/Pass-Through	Listing		Federal	P	rovided to
Grantor/Program or Cluster Title	Number	Ex	penditures	Su	brecipients
OTHER PROGRAMS (CONTINUED):					
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services					
Foster Care - Title IV-E					
Pass Through:					
University of California, Berkeley	93.658		3,032,446		_
County of Los Angeles	93.658		928,790		_
			3,961,236		
U.S. Department of Homeland Security					
Boating Safety Financial Assistance					
Pass Through:					
California Department of Parks and Recreation	97.012		25,698		
Undeterminable Federal Agency					
Tennessee State University	99.G269522100		(682)		-
Peace Corps Recruitment Office	99.G252421100		7,856		
			7,174		
TOTAL OTHER PROGRAMS			14,235,243		139,178
TOTAL EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS		\$	38,520,695	\$	9,674,376

# NOTES TO THE SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2024

#### NOTE 1 - Basis of Presentation

The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards (the Schedule) includes the federal award activity of California State University, Long Beach Research Foundation (the Research Foundation), under programs of the federal government for the year ended June 30, 2024. The information in the Schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of Title 2 U.S. *Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Because the Schedule presents only a selected portion of the operations of the Research Foundation, it is not intended to and does not present the net position, changes in revenue, expenses and net position, or cash flows of the Research Foundation.

#### NOTE 2 – Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Expenditures reported on the Schedule are reported on the accrual basis of accounting. Such expenditures are recognized following the cost principles contained in the Uniform Guidance, wherein certain types of expenditures are not allowable or are limited as to reimbursement. Negative amounts reflected in the Schedule represent adjustments or credits resulting from the normal course of business to amounts reported as expenditures in prior years.

#### NOTE 3 - Indirect Cost Rate

The Research Foundation has elected not to use the ten percent de minimis indirect cost rate as allowed under the Uniform Guidance.

# SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2024

#### SECTION I - SUMMARY OF AUDITORS' RESULTS

#### **Financial Statements**

The auditors express an unmodified opinion on whether the financial statements of the Research Foundation were prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

#### Internal control over financial reporting

- 1. Material weakness(es) identified? No
- 2. Significant deficiencies identified? None reported
- 3. Noncompliance material to financial statements noted? No

#### **Federal Awards**

#### Internal control over major programs

- 1. Material weakness(es) identified? No
- 2. Significant deficiencies identified? None reported
- 3. Type of auditors' report issued on compliance for major programs Unmodified
- 4. Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with 2 CFR section 200.516(a)? No
- 5. Identification of major programs: TRIO Cluster (84.042, 84.044, 84.047, 84.066, and 84.217) and Foster Care (93.658)
- 6. Dollar threshold used to distinguish between type A and type B programs was \$1,155,621.
- 7. Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee? Yes

#### SECTION II - FINDINGS - FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AUDIT

None

SECTION III – FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS – MAJOR FEDERAL AWARD PROGRAMS AUDIT

None