 California State University, Long Beach CLA Policy Statement 1301			
COLLEGE OF LIBERAL ARTS POLICY AND PROCEDURES			
FOR RESEARCH, SCHOLARLY, AND CREATIVE ACTIVITY AWARDS			
V. 2.9			
V. 2.3			
Approved by CLA Faculty Council 9/18/2024, Signed by Dean:			
represent by Contracting Council of 20, 202 if organical by Council of			
This policy structures committees that will decide on Research, Scholarly, and Creative			
Activities (RSCA) awards for reassigned time and faculty small grants and Mini-grants and			
Summer Stipends (MGSS); enables workload equalization between decision panels;			
conserves the scarce resource of available faculty committee service time in the College			
of Liberal Arts (CLA); and identifies eligibility and application procedures for the various			
RSCA awards.			
1.0 RSCA Committee and MGSS Sub-Committee			
1.1 RSCA Committee. The RSCA committee will determine procedures for			
handling, reviewing, and ranking CLA RSCA reassigned time and faculty small			
grant applications.			
1.1.1 The RSCA committee will have nine members and two alternates elected by the tenured/tenure track faculty of the CLA.			
1.1.2 Members of the committee must be full—time, tenure-track or tenured			
faculty.			
1.1.3 No more than two members from any one department or program can			
serve on the RSCA committee.			
1.1.4 Elected members of this committee may apply for MGSS awards if they			
do not serve on the MGSS Subcommittee.			
1.2 MGSS SubCommittee. The MGSS subcommittee will determine procedures			
for handling, reviewing, and ranking CLA MGSS applications. The decision			
process for these applications will be the sole preserve of the MGSS			
sub-committee in the CLA.			
1.2.1 The MGSS sub-committee will have at least three members and one			
alternate elected by the members of the RSCA committee.			
1.2.2 Members of the subcommittee must be fulltime, tenured faculty with			
either associate or full professor rank.			

40	1.2.3 No more than one person from any department or program can serve on
41	the MGSS subcommittee.
42	1.2.4 Members of this committee may <i>not</i> apply for MGSS awards.
43	
44	1.3 Organizing the RSCA Committee. The RSCA committee will function as an
45	umbrella committee to coordinate the application process for reassigned time
46	and MGSS grants. It will elect, at its first meeting, three members to constitute
47	the MGSS sub-committee in years when MGSS funds are available.
48	
49	1.3.1 The chair of the RSCA committee shall be elected by its members.
50	1.3.2 Members of the committee will be constituted into four review panels.
51	RSCA committee members will constitute three panels. Members from
52	the MGSS sub-committee will form a fourth review panel. This last pane
53	will take up a portion of the RSCA review work adjusted for the number
54	of MGSS applications considered.
55	1.3.3 No review panel shall have more than one faculty member from any
56	department or program.
57	1.3.4 Members of the RSCA committee or MGSS sub-committee may apply for
58	RSCA awards, but may not sit on the review panel that ranks their
59	application.
60	1.3.5 Members of the RSCA committee or MGSS sub-committee may not sit on
61	the panel that reviews any applications from a co-author, spouse,
62	domestic partner, child, relative, or "significant other" of the member.
63	1.3.6 The chair of the RSCA committee will coordinate the assignment of
64	applications to review panels and the normalization of scores for all
65	RSCA applications, and will have other duties assigned as appropriate to
66	a chair.
67	
68	2.0 Award Eligibility
69	
70	2.1 MGSS
71	2.1.1. All mambars of Unit 2 not receiving now faculty reassigned time are
71	2.1.1 All members of Unit 3 not receiving new faculty reassigned time are
72	eligible to compete for MGSS awards. Faculty members with 12-month
73	full-time appointments are not eligible for summer stipends. Summer
74 75	stipend applicants may not have additional employment in the CSU or
75 76	an auxiliary equivalent to 6 WTUs or more during the summer.
76 77	2.2 RSCA (Assigned Time Awards and Faculty Small Grants)
11	2.2 NOCA (ASSIGNED THE AWAIDS AND FACULTY SHIGH GIGHLS)

78	2.2.1 Tenured/tenure-track faculty not receiving new faculty reassign	ied time
79	and full-time lecturer faculty are eligible to complete for CLA RS	CA
80	Assigned Time awards, inclusive of those receiving research sup	port
81	through other internal or external sources.	
82	2.2.2 Tenured/tenure-track and full-time lecturer faculty, including the	ıose
83	receiving new faculty reassigned time, are eligible to compete f	or CLA
84	RSCA Faculty Small Grant funding.	
85		
86	3.0 Award Application	
87		
88	3.1 Faculty are encouraged to apply for support for their research, scholar	ly, and
89	creative activities. There are two distinct internal award programs gra	nting fou
90	types of awards at CSULB: (1) Research, Scholarly and Creative Active	ities
91	(RSCA) awards for assigned time and faculty small grants and (2) ${\sf N}$	lini-
92	Grants and Summer Stipends (MGSS) awards. Faculty may only accept	one of
93	these four awards per application cycle.	
94		
95	3.2 Activities Supported	
96		
97	3.2.1 The following activities are eligible for all RSCA/MGSS Awards:	
98		
99	3.2.1.1 Scholarly, peer-reviewed, and basic research	
100	3.2.1.2 Community-engaged and applied research	
101	3.2.1.3 Creative activities	
102		
103	3.2.2 The following activities are ineligible for any RSCA/MGSS Award	s:
104		
105	3.2.2.1 Curricular development or improvement	
106	3.2.2.2 Department or college programs or facilities	
107	3.2.2.3 Completion of requirements for an advanced degree	
108	3.2.2.4 Community service	
109		
110	3.3 Types of Awards	
111		
112	3.3.1 Reassigned Time Awards.	
113	Each award will be equal to three units of assigned time for one	ļ
114	semester in one year.	
115	3.3.2 Faculty Small Grants	

CLA RSCA Policy Statement 13-01 v. 2.9 Academic Senate policy states: "Faculty small grants are monetary awards 116 to facilitate RSCA activities. They may be used for expenses such as 117 student assistance, minor equipment or materials & supplies, or travel to 118 advance RSCA activities. 119 3.3.3 Mini-Grants 120 121 Academic Senate policy states: "Mini-grants allow recipients to test 122 promising ideas and obtain preliminary results prior to seeking external support. Funds may be used for undergraduate and/or graduate student 123 assistants, clerical assistance, minor equipment, and for travel and 124 supplies necessary for the activity. These mini-grants are intended to be 125 'seed money' leading to the application for external support. Since this 126 award is 'seed money,' acceptance of a mini-grant requires that the 127 application for external funding based on the proposal be submitted 128 within two years of the date of the award and prior to any subsequent 129 mini-grant awards." 130 131 3.3.4 Summer Stipend Award 132 Academic Senate policy states: "Summer stipends are equivalent to the 133

prevailing 3-WTU Vacant Rate to support a recipient in continuance of scholarly and creative activity during the summer."

4.0 Evaluation Criteria

139

134

135 136

137 138

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

4.1 In establishing its rankings, the RSCA Awards committee will take into account the merit of the proposed work; the applicant's track record as the result of prior awards; how access to research support, or lack thereof, impacts faculty history in order to work toward equitable outcomes for those with access to fewer resources (e.g. assigned time, funding, and other types of research support) or life events (e.g. leaves of absence, major service or administrative roles, changing publishing timelines, etc.); and the resources the applicant has and will have to accomplish their scholarly and creative work. Awards shall be based primarily on the quality of the proposed research or creative activity as outlined in the proposal. Proposals should be clear and accessible to reviewers outside the applicant's discipline. Criteria include:

149 150 151

152

153

- 4.1.1 Significance of the research, scholarly, or creative activity;
- 4.1.2 Extent to which the methodology is appropriate to the stated purpose;
 - 4.1.3 Likelihood that the work proposed will be completed within the timeline;

154	4.1.4	Extent to which the project will promote the faculty member's scholarly,
155		community-engaged and applied research, or creative activities,
156		development, direction, and purpose;
157	4.1.5	Probability that the project will lead to peer-reviewed publication,
158		exhibitions, external grant proposals; scholarly collaborations and
159		partnerships with communities outside the university that result in
160		non-traditional scholarly or creative products, including, but not limited
161		to, exhibits, nonprofit reports, policy development, among other
162		scholarly, applied, and creative outcomes. The University RTP policy
163		states: "The Scholarship of Engagement includes: a reciprocal
164		relationship with communities that yields innovations with disciplinary
165		expertise, can be replicated, documented, is professionally and/ or
166		peer-reviewed, and has evidence of impact"; and
167	4.1.6	Extent to which the project benefits the university mission.
168		
169	4.2 RSC/	A and MGSS Awards Application
170		
171	4.2.1	The application form for the RSCA and MGSS awards shall be made
172		available through the CLA website.
173	4.2.2	Applicants should bear in mind that MGSS proposals are read and
174		evaluated by the CLA MGSS subcommittee, the College Dean, and the
175		University MGSS committee. As such, proposals should be written so
176		that they are accessible to faculty from diverse disciplines across the
177		University.
178	4.2.3	Reports of Work Accomplished for previous RSCA awards should <i>not</i> be
179		forwarded with the Application, but summarized in the application. Send
180		Reports of Work Accomplished directly to the Office of Research and
181		Sponsored Programs.
182	4.2.4	The application should be prepared using 12-point type and oneinch
183		margins.
184		
185	4.3 Appl	ication Clusters for MGSS
186		
187	4.3.1	Applications for MGSS are reviewed in "clusters" at the University level.
188		
189		4.3.1.1 Cluster A: Basic or Applied Research in natural sciences,
190		Engineering, or mathematics.
191		4.3.1.2 Cluster B1: Applied Research in business administration, health,

192			human services, education, public administration, or library
193			scholarship.
194		4.3.1.3	Cluster B2: Basic Research in humanities, social sciences, fine
195 196		1211	arts scholarship. Cluster C: Creative Activity in fine arts and creative writing
190 197		4.3.1.4	cluster C. Creative Activity in fine arts and creative writing
198	4.4 Appl	ication I	Domains
199	/.pp.		
200	4.4.1	Applica	tions must address the following domains:
201			ation about applicant, including but not limited to name, title,
202			nd title of proposal.
203	4.4.3	Propos	·
204		•	history covering the last five years, including: a list of all recent
205		-	tions and presentations,
206		•	
207		4.4.4.1	a list of sources of workload assigned time for the last four
208			years with a description of duties or activities supported by
209			this assigned time,
210		4.4.4.2	a list of any other sources of support for the project
211			including external support available to the project at the
212			time of application.
213		4.4.4.3	The "last five years" consist of the academic year in which
214			the proposal is submitted (including forthcoming work in
215			that year) and the prior four academic years.
216			
217	4.4.5	For Min	i-Grants, the proposed Mini-Grant budget.
218			
219	5.0 RSCA Con	nmittee	and MGSS Sub-committee Decisions
220			
221	5.1 Each	panel (f	ormed as in 1.3 above) evaluates a set of proposals.
222			
223	5.1.1	Panel n	nembers shall not see the proposals of other panels except when
224		require	d for the resolution of ties (see 5.1.8).
225	5.1.2	Panel m	nembers' own proposals (or other proposals that could represent
226		conflict	of interestsuch as a partner's, spouse's or coauthor's) are
227			ed to other panels.
228	5.1.3		ual reviewers submit their scores to CLA Dean's office staff, who

229		will remove reviewer identities. Those scores will then be normalized by
230		the RSCA committee chair with support from CLA Dean's Office Staff, as
231		needed.
232	5.1.4	A ranked ordering is produced for each panel's scores.
233		The panel shall meet to discuss and resolve ties before finalizing scores.
234		Final scores are sent to the RSCA committee chair and the CLA Dean's
	5.1.0	
235	547	Office staff, who compiles a master ranking.
236	5.1./	The master ranking will be shared with all members of the RSCA
237		committee.
238	5.1.8	The committee shall meet to discuss and resolve ties on the master
239		ranking as necessary. Committee members whose proposals are under
240		discussion, or have conflicts of interest identified in 1.3.5 shall be
241		recused from this discussion.
242	5.1.9	The RSCA committee panels and MGSS sub-committee shall produce a
243		written comment for each proposal specifying its strengths and
244		weaknesses.
245		
246	5.2 Forfe	eited awards
247		
248	5.2.1	Awards that are forfeited for any reason should be given to the next
249		Eligible person identified by CLA RSCA committee rankings in the
250		current, ranked applicant pool at the time of forfeiture. Similarly, if any
251		additional monies are added to the pool of resources at any time, any
252		additional awards should be given to the next eligible person identified
253		by the CLA RSCA committee rankings. The Dean's office will
254		communicate these actions to the Chair of the CLA RSCA committee.
255	5.2.2	Awards that are forfeited later than December of the academic year of
256		intended implementation will be put into the following competition
257		year's pool.
258		
259	5.3 Awa	rd Decisions
260		
261	5.3.1	The final decision on reassigned time awards shall rest with the Dean of
262		CLA. Normally, the Dean's decisions will follow the RSCA committee's
263		rankings. The Dean will report to the RSCA committee any change, and,
264		at their discretion, a rationale for the change. The final decision on MGSS
265		awards rests with the University MGSS committee.

CLA RSCA Policy Statement 13-01 v. 2.9

266	5.3.2 Decisions are final. There is no appeals process.
267	5.3.3. Applicants are encouraged to review the committee's comments
268	concerning the strengths and weaknesses of their proposals.
269	5.3.4. The list of RSCA and MGSS awardees and the project titles will be posted
270	on the CLA website.