**ACADEMIC SENATE**

**AGENDA**

**MEETING #9**

Thursday, February 7, 2019, 2:00 – 4:00 pm

Towner Auditorium (PSY 150)

1. CALL TO ORDER-2:04 pm- Chair NS spoke to the great number of students in attendance at today’s meeting to address that we will be discussing GE with a 3:15 time certain but will not be discussing GR (campus specific requirements). Many students attended due to an email that was sent from the College of Engineering encouraging student participation in this meeting. NS encouraged the students to remain to learn about what the Senate does. NS explain EO 1100 to the students in attendance. He explained that the Senate would translate the EO into a policy for our campus. ASI Senator Aaron Jordan attempted to explain GE policy to the students in attendance. Senator Mehrdad Aliasgari attempted to explain EO to the students regarding exceptions and the new mandate. He stated that all Engineering students would need more than 120 units with the new mandate.
2. APPROVAL OF AGENDA- approved as amended, JZP motioned tabling 7.3 (Policy on Faculty Awards) seconded, no objections
3. APPROVAL OF MINUTES
   1. Academic Senate meeting of January 31, 2019- approved
4. REPORTS OF STANDING COMMITTEES AND COUNCILS
   1. Executive Committee: Announcements- NS announced upcoming Lecture series on 3-14 and 3-28 and stated we have books available for checkout. Both books featured in the lectures were purchased by the AS and have for those who would like to read. Gay Arakawa reported on the Alumni Grants available at this time and encouraged applying for them, $50,000 available in Grants.
   2. Nominating Committee

5. CONSENT CALENDAR

* 1. Discontinuance of MA in Art, Option in Studio Art (AS-1066-18/URC/CEPC)—SECOND READING- passed for discontinuance

1. SPECIAL ORDERS

N/A

1. UNFINISHED BUSINESS

7.1 **Proposed revision of Policy on Research, Scholarly and Creative Activity PS 11-08** (AS-1058-18/FPPC/EC)—SECOND READING- discussing last two amendments put forth on this policy. 1st amendment line add 2.0.4 put forth by GG, seconded by Nancy Martin. GG spoke that after receiving 3 awards, faculty should be ineligible for further awards unless the faculty member serves on the college or university selection committee. Discussion NM spoke against this and stated it may not be an issue in some colleges, and perhaps being a college only decision not a University wide amendment. Shadi Saadeh spoke against the amendment, Chris Brazier spoke against saying that if perhaps someone is not elected to a committee but is willing to serve, could perhaps be unfair. DP spoke against it by stating it makes one committee “more important” than another by placing restrictions on RSCA based on committee service. Voting on amendment YES-11 N0- 42, amendment voted down. Next amendment is regarding deadlines for the awards; Alternative 1 and Alternative 2 offered. Lines 55-60, moved JZP and seconded by SO, JZP reported on straw poll done earlier, and that faculty was against it at that time. AC reports on the language suggested by FPPC and said it refers to the time when only one award could be applied for, which is not the case now due to revisions made earlier by AS. Line 176 is referred to by AC. NS suggested a straw poll to decide what prelimary results will be. Dean Bennett spoke against a straw poll stating that this is a poor way to develop ideas and is a way to “manipulate outcomes”. Straw poll cancelled. Voting on alternative 1. Discussion on motion- AC spoke against Alternative 1, saying the time needed to decide is not sufficient if they have different deadlines. ADC speaks in favor of Alt. 1 stating being able to apply for 2 different awards, so deadlines need to be different. NW and NH also spoke in favor of Alt. 1. Voting on Alternative 1 A (yes) =27 B (no) = 27 TIE, NS is tiebreaker votes A (Yes) Alternative one passes. Alternative 2 moved and seconded.

JZP presented an Amendment to Alternative-“applications and the announcement date for RSCA and FSG awards shall and shall be at least one month before the UMGSS application deadline”, moved JZP, seconded. Flora has concerns about the timing of the deadlines for the committee to do their work. Voting on Alternative 2- A (Yes) =35 B (NO) = 22**, Alternative 2 passes**, *supersedes Alternative 1.*

Voting on line 176 “and deadlines” Line 175, Yes=53 No=2, **Passes**

Voting on line 175 adding the words “applying for” Yes=56 No=1

\*\*Voting on entire amended policy Yes= 52 No=6 **Overall Amended Policy Passes**

Last amendment, line 176 replacing the word “grant applications” Yes= No=

7.2 **Proposed revision of Policy on Avoidance of Conflict of Interest PS 99-15 and Policy on Nepotism PS 05-10 (AS-968-17/FPPC)—SECOND READING**

7.3 **Proposed revision of Policy on Faculty Awards PS 12-06 (AS-1067-18/FPPC)—SECOND READING**

7.4 **Proposed revision of Charge of University Mini-Grant and Summer Stipend Committee (UMGSSC)** (AS-1068-18/FPPC)—SECOND READING- voting on policy, no proposed amendments. None forthcoming from the floor. Voting on entire policy Yes=57 No=1 **Policy passes**

7.5 **Proposed revision of General Education Policy (AS-1073-19/CEPC/EC)—SECOND READING: TIME CERTAIN 3:15 pm-** discussion regarding amendments ensued. Lines 30-44, no amendments, lines 45-53, no amendments, lines 54-58 no amendments, lines 60-64, Chris point of information question (sub areas),CB proposed and seconded by SO, asks for amendment on line 63 “by the beginning of the Fall 19 semester” EK asks point of clarification does this refer to GE and GR or just GR, answer just the GE policy is referred to by this policy. JC speaks against the amendment, amendment withdrawn by CB. Lines 66-78, no amendments, lines 80-88 no amendments, lines 89-94 no amendments, lines 96-101 no amendments, lines 103-109 Dan O’Connor states line 99 courses are not A2 and not a GE requirement, delete the words “GE” on line 99, JC moved and is seconded. Discussion ensued, supporting this amendment. Voting on Amendment Yes=55 No=3, **Amendment passes.** Additional amendment from Kerry Johnson to add the words “quantitative reasoning” to line 99 before the word “mathematics” replace “English composition” to “written communication in English” voting on amendment Yes= No= Lines 103-109 no amendments, lines 110-115 GG motioned and seconded “also known as Golden Four” to be removed. Dan O’Connor concerned with the term “first year” in line 104 what does it mean? DP states that Golden Four also means Basic Skills. GG withdraws amendment. JC suggests amendment Line 106 delete the phrase “within the first year” delete “the” add “their” to line 106. Voting on changing the language Yes=23 No=26, amendment defeated, stay with original language. Lines 117-124 no amendments, lines 126-131 no amendments, lines 132-136 no amendments, lines 137-142 no amendments, lines 143-148 no amendments, lines 149-152 no amendments, lines 153-163 no amendments, lines 164-170 no amendments, lines 171-175 no amendments, lines 176-183 ADC suggests at end of paragraph at line 182 “*instructors must have demonstrable disciplinary expertise in the GE area or sub area or subject matter*.” Motioned and seconded. ADC wants to keep the rigor of expertise of the instructors. Mehrdad A. asks who decides who has “expertise” CB states Chair has expertise to place instructors at their discretion. Min asks how to assess the expertise of the instructor. Shahab Derakhshan asks why this amendment is necessary, DS states that all faculty have GE skills for example critical thinking, components of his courses have GE areas in them. JC speaks against amendment, due for difficulty of enforcement saying you would need the CV of all instructors teaching each course and it would be un-enforce-able. NH speaks in favor due to his work on CEPC. Chris K speaks in favor or teaching specific courses within discipline. Resuming discussion at line 188 next week.

1. NEW BUSINESS
2. ADJOURNMENT- 4 pm