

Internal Control and Compliance Reports June 30, 2019

# **CONTENTS**

Independent Auditors' Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements  Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards	-2
	_
Independent Auditors' Report on Compliance for Each Major Program and on Internal Control Over Compliance Required by the Uniform Guidance	-5
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards6-	11
Notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	12
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	13



# INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

To the Board of Directors of California State University, Long Beach Research Foundation:

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of California State University, Long Beach Research Foundation (a nonprofit organization), which comprise the statement of net position as of June 30, 2019, and the related statements of revenues, expenses, and changes in net position, and cash flows for the year then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated September 13, 2019.

#### **Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered California State University, Long Beach Research Foundation's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of California State University, Long Beach Research Foundation's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of California State University, Long Beach Research Foundation's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we considered to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

### **Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether California State University, Long Beach Research Foundation's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

## **Purpose of this Report**

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the organization's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the organization's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Long Beach, California September 13, 2019

Windes, Inc.



# INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR PROGRAM AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE REQUIRED BY THE UNIFORM GUIDANCE

To the Board of Directors of California State University, Long Beach Research Foundation:

#### Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program

We have audited California State University, Long Beach Research Foundation's compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the *OMB Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on each of California State University, Long Beach Research Foundation's major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2019. California State University, Long Beach Research Foundation's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditors' results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

### Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of its federal awards applicable to its federal programs.

#### Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for each of California State University, Long Beach Research Foundation's major federal programs based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the audit requirements of Title 2 U.S. *Code of Federal Regulations* Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Those standards and the Uniform Guidance require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about California State University, Long Beach Research Foundation's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of California State University, Long Beach Research Foundation's compliance.

### Opinion on Each Major Federal Program

In our opinion, California State University, Long Beach Research Foundation complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2019.

#### **Report on Internal Control Over Compliance**

Management of California State University, Long Beach Research Foundation is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered California State University, Long Beach Research Foundation's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major federal program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for each major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of California State University, Long Beach Research Foundation's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

### Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance

We have audited the financial statements of California State University, Long Beach Research Foundation as of and for the year ended June 30, 2019, and have issued our report thereon dated September 13, 2019, which contained an unmodified opinion on those financial statements. Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements as a whole. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by the Uniform Guidance and is not a required part of the financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the schedule of expenditures of federal awards is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the financial statements as a whole.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Long Beach, California

Windes, Inc.

September 13, 2019

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program or Cluster Title	Federal CFDA Number	Federal Expenditures	Amounts Provided to Subrecipients
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT - CLUSTER:			
U. S. Department of Agriculture			
Agricultural Research	10.001	\$ 2,637	\$ -
Agriculture and Food Research Initiative	10.310	74,127	33,614
		76,764	33,614
U.S. Department of Commerce			
Sea Grant Support			
Pass Through:			
University of Southern California	11.417	66,382	
U.S. Department of Defense			
Basic Scientific Research - U.S. Navy	12.300	92,294	-
Basic Scientific Research - U.S. Army	12.431	9,291	
		101,585	
U.S. Department of Interior			
Water Conservation Field Services Program			
Pass Through:			
Metropolitan Water District of Southern California	15.530	722	-
Earthquake Hazards Reduction Program	15.807	39,017	10,076
Pass Through:			
University of Southern California	15.807	19,693	
		59,432	10,076
U.S. Department of Transportation			
University Transportation Centers Program			
Pass Through:			
University of Southern California	20.701	561,274	
National Aeronautics and Space Administration			
Technology Transfer			
Pass Through:			
San Jose State University Research Foundation	43.002	55,676	-
Aeronautics, Recovery Act			
Pass Through:			
San Jose State University Research Foundation	43.004	51,885	
		107,561	

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program or Cluster Title	Federal CFDA Number	Federal Expenditures	Amounts Provided to Subrecipients
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT - CLUSTER (CONTINUED):			
National Endowment for the Humanities			
Promotion of the Humanities Fellowships and Stipends	45.160	50,400	
National Science Foundation			
Engineering Grants	47.041	172,146	42,676
Pass Through:			
University of California, Los Angeles	47.041	2,593	-
University of California, Irvine	47.041	7,559	-
Mathematical and Physical Sciences	47.049	508,344	-
Geosciences	47.050	9,135	-
Biological Sciences	47.074	34,733	-
Social, Behavioral, and Economic Sciences	47.075	7,611	-
Education and Human Resources	47.076	26,222	-
Pass Through:			
San Diego State University Foundation	47.076	2,562	-
Arizona State University	47.076	7,253	-
American Physical Society	47.076	2,393	
		780,551	42,676
U.S. Department of Environmental Protection Agency			
Water Pollution Control State, Interstate, and Tribal Program Support	66.419	89,430	-
Regional Wetland Development Program			
Pass Through:	66.461	50,640	
Santa Monica Bay Restoration Authority	66.461	140,070	
		140,070	
U.S. Department of Energy			
Office of Science Financial Assistance Program	81.049	69,473	-
Nuclear Wasts Disposal Siting			
Pass Through:			
Department of Toxic Substances	81.065	13,655	-
Nuclear Research	81.121	163,198	-
Pass Through:			
University of California, Irvine	81.121	5,000	
		251,326	

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program or Cluster Title	Federal CFDA Number	Federal Expenditures	Amounts Provided to Subrecipients
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT - CLUSTER (CONTINUED):			
U. S. Department of Education			
Higher Education Institutional Aid	84.031	513,320	-
Investing in Innovation	84.411	725 514,045	
U. S. Department of Health and Human Services			
Community Programs to Improve Minority Health Grant Program	93.137	418,047	115,160
National Center on Sleep Disorders Research	93.233	130,037	-
Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services	93.243	175,872	30,281
Drug Abuse and Addiction Research Programs	93.279	118,190	-
Trans-NIH Research Support	93.310	5,340,053	-
Lung Diseases Research	93.838	53,870	-
Biomedical Research and Research Training	93.859	3,125,334	8,716
Child Health and Human Development Extramural Research Pass Through:			
University of California, Los Angeles	93.865	2,559	-
Aging Research	93.866	96,165	
		9,460,127	154,157
Undeterminable Federal Agency			
NASA - AMES Research Center	99.G227619100	174	-
NASA - AMES Research Center	99.G218618100	37,658	-
SupportPalliatative Care 17	99.G211617100	(2,079)	-
Palliatative Care Temp 15	99.G211618200	27,002	
		62,755	
TOTAL RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT		12,232,272	240,523

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program or Cluster Title	Federal CFDA Number	Federal Expenditures	Amounts Provided to Subrecipients
OTHER PROGRAMS:			
U. S. Department of Agriculture			
Summer Food Service Program for Children	10.559	-	-
Pass Through:			
California Department of Education	10.559	5,012	-
Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program			
Pass Through:			
California State University Chico Research Foundation	10.561	16,769	
		21,781	
U.S. Department of Commerce			
Unallied Science Program			
Pass Through:			
North Pacific Resource Board	11.472	43,943	
U.S. Department of Defense			
ROTC Language and Culture Training Grants	12.357	243,218	-
Language Training Center			
Pass Through:			
Institute of International Education	12.579	72,733	
		315,951	
U.S. Department of Justice			
Violence Against Women Formula Grants			
Pass Through:			
California Governor's Office of Emergency Services	16.588	250,402	95,813
U.S. Department of Labor			
Trade Adjustment Assistance Community College and Career Training			
Pass Through:			
Trustees of the California State University	17.282	94,707	-
Long Beach Community College District	17.282		
		94,707	
U.S. Department of Transportation			
Highway Research and Development Program	20.200	490,924	286,691
University Transportation Centers Program Pass Through:			
University of Southern California	20.701	234,746	50,000
Chirolong of Southern Cumornia	20.701		,

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program or Cluster Title	Federal CFDA Number	Federal Expenditures	Amounts Provided to Subrecipients
OTHER PROGRAMS (CONTINUED):			
National Endowment for the Humanities			
Promotion of the Humanities Fellowship and Stipends	45.160	50,400	-
Peace Corps Recruiting Office	45.G195916100	(1,739)	-
Peace Corps Recruiting Office	45.G195917200	4,539	-
Peace Corps Recruiting Office	45.G195918300	21,600	
		74,800	
National Science Foundation			
Computer and Information Science and Engineering	47.070	17,300	-
Social, Behavioral, and Economic Sciences	47.075	30,314	-
Education and Human Resources			
Pass Through:			
California State University, Sacramento-University Enterprises, Inc.	47.076	10,092	
		57,706	
U.S. Department of Education			
Foreign Language and International Studies Program Pass Through:			
Ohio State University	84.015	65	-
Higher Educational Institutional Aid	84.031	1,740,058	-
TRIO Cluster-Student Support Services	84.042	1,005,485	-
TRIO Cluster-Talent Search	84.044	790,928	-
TRIO Cluster-Upward Bound	84.047	1,117,253	-
TRIO Cluster-Educational Opportunity Center	84.066	258,554	-
Migrant Education-High School Equivalency Program	84.141	356,040	-
Migrant Education-College Assistant Migrant Program	84.149	332,400	-
Rehabilitation Services Vocational Rehabilitation Grants to States			
Pass Through:			
California Department of Rehabilitations	84.126	228,004	-
TRIO McNair Post-Baccalaureate Achievement	84.217	205,811	-
Special Education - Personnel Development	84.325	611,224	-

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program or Cluster Title	Federal CFDA Number	Federal Expenditures	Amounts Provided to Subrecipients
OTHER PROGRAMS (CONTINUED): Improving Teach Quality State Grants Pass Through: California State University, San Bernardino University Enterprises Corp University California Office of the President	84.367 84.367	23,065 56,342 6,725,229	- - - -
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Foster Care - Title IV-E Pass Through:			
University of California, Berkeley	93.658	2,712,523	-
University of California, Los Angeles Grants to States for Operation of Offices of Rural Health Pass Through:	93.658	1,346,606	-
California Department of Health Care Services	93.913	36,398	_
T	73.713	4,095,527	
TOTAL OTHER PROGRAMS		12,405,716	432,504
TOTAL EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS		24,637,988	\$ 673,027

# NOTES TO THE SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2019

#### **NOTE 1 – Basis of Presentation**

The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards (the Schedule) includes the federal award activity of California State University, Long Beach Research Foundation, under programs of the federal government for the year ended June 30, 2019. The information in this Schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of Title 2 U.S. *Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Because the Schedule presents only a selected portion of the operations of California State University, Long Beach Research Foundation, it is not intended to and does not present the net position, changes in revenue, expenses and net position, or cash flows of California State University, Long Beach Research Foundation.

### **NOTE 2 – Summary of Significant Accounting Policies**

Expenditures reported on the Schedule are reported on the accrual basis of accounting. Such expenditures are recognized following the cost principles contained in the Uniform Guidance, wherein certain types of expenditures are not allowable or are limited as to reimbursement. Negative amounts reflected in the Schedule represent adjustments or credits resulting from the normal course of business to amounts reported as expenditures in prior years.

#### **NOTE 3 - Indirect Cost Rate**

California State University, Long Beach Research Foundation has elected not to use the 10 percent de minimis indirect cost rate as allowed under the Uniform Guidance.

# SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2019

#### SECTION I - SUMMARY OF AUDITORS' RESULTS

#### **Financial Statements**

The auditors express an unmodified opinion on whether the financial statements of California State University, Long Beach Research Foundation were prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

## Internal control over financial reporting

- 1. Material weakness(es) identified? No
- 2. Significant deficiencies identified? None reported
- 3. Noncompliance material to financial statements noted? No

#### **Federal Awards**

### Internal control over major programs

- 1. Material weakness(es) identified? No
- 2. Significant deficiencies identified? None reported
- 3. Type of auditors' report issued on compliance for major programs Unmodified
- 4. Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with 2 CFR section 200.516(a)? No
- 5. Identification of major programs: TRIO Cluster (CFDA 84.042, 84.044, 84.047, 84.066, and 84.217) and Foster Care Title IV (CFDA 93.658)
- 6. Dollar threshold used to distinguish between type A and type B programs was \$750,000.
- 7. Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee? Yes

#### SECTION II - FINDINGS - FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AUDIT

None

# SECTION III - FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS - MAJOR FEDERAL AWARD PROGRAMS AUDIT

None