

Internal Control and Compliance Reports June 30, 2017

CONTENTS

Independent Auditors' Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>
Independent Auditors' Report on Compliance for Each Major Program and on Internal Control Over Compliance Required by the Uniform Guidance
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards
Notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards 12
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs 13

FEDERAL ID #95-6106694



111 West Ocean Blvd. Twenty-Second Floor Long Beach, CA 90802 562.435.1191

18201 Von Karman Ave. Suite 1060 Irvine, CA 92612 949.271.2600

601 South Figueroa St. Suite 4950 Los Angeles, CA 90017 213.239.9745

www.windes.com

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

To the Board of Directors of California State University, Long Beach Research Foundation:

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of California State University, Long Beach Research Foundation (a nonprofit organization), which comprise the statement of net position as of June 30, 2017, and the related statements of revenues, expenses, and changes in net position, and cash flows for the year then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated September 13, 2017.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered California State University, Long Beach Research Foundation's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of California State University, Long Beach Research Foundation's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of California State University, Long Beach Research Foundation's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we considered to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether California State University, Long Beach Research Foundation's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the organization's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the organization's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Windes, dre.

Long Beach, California September 13, 2017



111 West Ocean Blvd. Twenty-Second Floor Long Beach, CA 90802 562 435 1191 18201 Von Karman Ave. Suite 1060 Irvine, CA 92612 949.271.2600 601 South Figueroa St. Suite 4950 Los Angeles, CA 90017 213.239.9745

www.windes.com

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR PROGRAM AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE REQUIRED BY THE UNIFORM GUIDANCE

To the Board of Directors of California State University, Long Beach Research Foundation:

Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program

We have audited California State University, Long Beach Research Foundation's compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the *OMB Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on each of California State University, Long Beach Research Foundation's major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2017. California State University, Long Beach Research Foundation's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditors' results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of its federal awards applicable to its federal programs.

Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for each of California State University, Long Beach Research Foundation's major federal programs based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the audit requirements of Title 2 U.S. *Code of Federal Regulations* Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Those standards and the Uniform Guidance require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about California State University, Long Beach Research Foundation's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of California State University, Long Beach Research Foundation's compliance.

Opinion on Each Major Federal Program

In our opinion, California State University, Long Beach Research Foundation complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2017.

Report on Internal Control Over Compliance

Management of California State University, Long Beach Research Foundation is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered California State University, Long Beach Research Foundation's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major federal program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for each major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of California State University, Long Beach Research Foundation's internal control over compliance.

A *deficiency in internal control over compliance* exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A *material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiencies, in internal control over compliance is a deficiency or in internal control over compliance is a deficiency or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance

We have audited the financial statements of California State University, Long Beach Research Foundation as of and for the year ended June 30, 2017, and have issued our report thereon dated September 13, 2017, which contained an unmodified opinion on those financial statements. Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements as a whole. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by the Uniform Guidance and is not a required part of the financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the schedule of expenditures of federal awards is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the financial statements as a whole.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Windes, dre.

Long Beach, California September 13, 2017

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program or Cluster Title	Federal CFDA Number	Federal Expenditures	Amounts Provided to Subrecipients
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT - CLUSTER:			
U. S. Department of Agriculture			
Agricultural Reseach	10.001	\$ 21,961	-
Agriculture and Food Research Initiative	10.310	2,220	-
Forestry Research	10.652	5,962	
U.S. Department of Commerce		30,143	
Sea Grant Support			
Pass Through:			
University of Southern California	11.417	5,123	
U.S. Department of Defense			
Basic Scientific Research - U.S. Navy	12.300	62,499	
Basic Scientific Research - U.S. Army	12.300	81,364	-
Dasie Schnine Research - 0.5. Anny	12.451	143,863	
U.S. Department of Interior			
Water Conservation Field Services Program			
Pass Through:			
Metropolitan Water District of Southern California	15.530	51,868	
U.S. Department of Justice			
Research, Evaluation, and Development Project Grants			
Pass Through:			
California State University, Fullerton Auxiliary Services Corporation	16.560	190,539	
U.S. Department of Transportation			
University Transportation Centers Program			
Pass Through:			
University of Southern California	20.701	305,726	
National Aeronautics and Space Administration			
Technology Transfer	43.002	71,461	-
	.2.002	<u> </u>	

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2017

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program or Cluster Title	Federal CFDA Number	Federal Expenditures	Amounts Provided to Subrecipients
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT - CLUSTER (CONTINUED):			
National Endowment for the Humanities			
Promotion of the Humanities Fellowship and Stipends	45.160	1	-
National Science Foundation			
Engineering Grants	47.041	312,727	-
Mathematical and Physical Sciences	47.049	402,955	-
Geosciences	47.050	31,029	-
Computer & Information Science & Engineering	47.070	32,251	-
Pass Through:			
Harvey Mudd College	47.070	76,629	-
University of California, Irvine	47.070	35,995	-
Biological Sciences	47.074	123,140	-
Social, Behavioral, and Economic Sciences	47.075	131,983	-
Education and Human Resources	47.076	104,170	-
Pass Through:			
San Diego State University Foundation	47.076	136,037	-
Stevens Institute of Technology	47.076	6,444	-
Arizona State University	47.076	126,228	-
American Physical Society	47.076	48,112	
		1,567,700	
U.S. Department of Envirnomental Protection Agency			
Regional Wetland Program Development Program			
Pass Through:			
Santa Monica Bay Restoration Authority	66.461	14,806	
U.S. Department of Energy			
Hydrology Support	81.065	7,714	-
Renewable Energy Research and Development	81.087	106,044	-
Nuclear Research	81.121	525,576	-
Pass Through:			
Battelle Energy Alliance LLC	81.121	68,982	
		708 316	

708,316

-

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program or Cluster Title	Federal CFDA Number	Federal Expenditures	Amounts Provided to Subrecipients
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT - CLUSTER (CONTINUED):			
U. S. Department of Education			
Undergraduate International Studies and Foreign Language Programs	84.016	24,748	-
Hgher Education Institutional Aid	84.031	495,546	-
National Institute on Disability and Rehabilitation Research	84.133	8,950	
		529,244	
U. S. Department of Health and Human Services			
Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services	93.243	525,176	\$ 171,799
Minority Health and Health Disparities	93.307	64,122	-
Trans-NIH Research Support	93.310	5,524,369	-
Biomedical Research and Research Training	93.859	2,334,391	-
Population Research	93.864	-	-
Child Health and Human Development Extramural Research			
Pass Through:			
University of California, Los Angeles	93.865	35,366	-
Aging Research			
Pass Through:			
University of Southern California	93.866	52,213	
		8,535,637	171,799
Undeterminable Federal Agency			
Health Alert Evaluation - Los Angeles County Public Health	99.G195816100	88,758	5,290
NASA - AMES Research Center	99.G178916300	320,814	42,500
Finite Element Wave Propagation	99.G192616100	52,062	-
Palliatative Care	99.G189815100	50,875	
		512,509	47,790
TOTAL RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT		12,666,936	219,589

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program or Cluster Title	Federal CFDA Number	Federal Expenditures	Amounts Provided to Subrecipients
OTHER PROGRAMS:			
U. S. Department of Agriculture			
Summer Food Service Program for Children			
Pass Through:			
California Department of Education	10.559	6,377	-
Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program			
Pass Through:			
California State University Chico Research Foundation	10.561	1,523	
		7,900	
U.S. Department of Defense			
Language Training Center			
Pass Through:			
Institute of International Education	12.579	358,171	
U.S. Department of Interior			
Water Conservation Field Services Program			
Pass Through:			
Metropolitan Water District of Southern California	15.530	4,623	
U.S. Department of Labor			
Trade Adjustment Assistance Community College and Career Training Pass Through:			
Long Beach Community College District	17.282	115,380	
U.S. Department of Transportation			
Highway Research and Development Program	20.200	383,403	183,694
University Transportation Centers Program			
Pass Through:			
University of Southern California	20.701	154,404	-
Southern California Regional Transit Training Consortium	20-G162813100	91	
		537,898	183,694
National Endowment for the Humanities			
Promotion of the Humanities Professional Development	45.163	54,830	

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program or Cluster Title	Federal CFDA Number	Federal Expenditures	Amounts Provided to Subrecipients
OTHER PROGRAMS (CONTINUED):			
Institute of Musuem and Library Services			
Museums for America	45.301	51,485	-
Conservation Project Support	45.303	1,293	
		52,778	
U.S. Peace Corps			
Peace Corps Recruitment Office	45.G195916100	23,195	
National Science Foundation			
Education and Human Resources			
Pass Through:			
California State University, Sacramento-University Enterprises, Inc.	47.076	27,733	-
Senior LSAMP Phase	45.G150612100	70	
		27,803	
U.S. Department of Education			
Higher Educational Institutional Aid	84.031	1,036,862	82,575
Pass Through:			
Antelope Valley College	84.031	(180,525)	-
TRIO Cluster-Student Support Services	84.042	996,532	-
TRIO Cluster-Talent Search	84.044	783,752	-
TRIO Cluster-Upward Bound	84.047	1,003,303	-
TRIO Cluster-Educational Opportunity Center	84.066	198,255	-
Migrant Education-High School Equivalency Program	84.141	397,883	-
Migrant Education-Colllege Assistang Migrant Program	84.149	374,143	-
Rehabilitation Services Vocational Rehabilitation Grants to States		-	-
Pass Through:	04.106	100 510	
California Department of Rehabilitations	84.126	198,519	-
Special Education - Personnel Development	84.325	645,054	-
Improving Teach Quality State Grants			
Pass Through:			
California State University, San Bernardino	04 2/7	= 402	
University Enterprises Corp	84.367	7,493	-
College Access Challenge Grant			
Pass Through: California Student Aid Commission	84.378	459,134	-
Sumorina Stadent File Commission	01.570	5,920,405	82,575

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program or Cluster Title	Federal CFDA Number	Federal Expenditures	Amounts Provided to Subrecipients
OTHER PROGRAMS (CONTINUED):			
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Foster Care - Title IV-E Pass Through:			
University of California, Berkeley University of California, Los Angeles HIV Prevention Activities Pass Through:	93.658 93.658	2,486,099 1,073,952	-
Los Angeles County Department of Health Services	93.940	(8) 3,560,043	
U.S. Department of Homeland Security Scholars, Fellows, and Education Programs	97.062	21,177	
TOTAL OTHER PROGRAMS		10,684,203	266,269
TOTAL EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS		\$ 23,351,139	\$ 485,858

NOTES TO THE SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2017

NOTE 1 – Basis of Presentation

The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards (the Schedule) includes the federal award activity of California State University, Long Beach Research Foundation, under programs of the federal government for the year ended June 30, 2017. The information in this schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of Title 2 U.S. *Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Because the Schedule presents only a selected portion of the operations of California State University, Long Beach Research Foundation, it is not intended to and does not present the net position, changes in net position, or cash flows of California State University, Long Beach Research Foundation.

NOTE 2 – Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Expenditures reported on the Schedule are reported on the accrual basis of accounting. Such expenditures are recognized following the cost principles contained in the Uniform Guidance, wherein certain types of expenditures are not allowable or are limited as to reimbursement.

NOTE 3 – Indirect Cost Rate

California State University, Long Beach Research Foundation has elected not to use the 10 percent de minimis indirect cost rate as allowed under the Uniform Guidance.

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2017

SECTION I – SUMMARY OF AUDITORS' RESULTS

Financial Statements

Type of auditors' report issued - Unmodified in accordance with GAAP

Internal control over financial reporting

- 1. Material weakness(es) identified? No
- 2. Significant deficiencies identified? None reported
- 3. Noncompliance material to financial statements noted? No

Federal Awards

Internal control over major programs

- 1. Material weakness(es) identified? No
- 2. Significant deficiencies identified? None reported
- 3. Type of auditors' report issued on compliance for major programs Unmodified
- 4. Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with 2 CFR section 200.516(a)? No
- 5. Identification of major programs: TRIO Cluster (CFDA 84.042, 84.044, 84.047, and 84.066) and Foster Care Title IV(CFDA 93.658)
- 6. Dollar threshold used to distinguish between type A and type B programs was \$750,000.
- 7. Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee? Yes

SECTION II - FINDINGS - FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AUDIT

None

SECTION III – FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS – MAJOR FEDERAL AWARD PROGRAMS AUDIT

None